UNITED STAT	ES DISTE	RICT COL	JRT
<b>NORTHERN D</b>	DISTRICT	<b>OF NEW</b>	YORK

ALBERT WEATHERS, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

9:12-cv-1582 (GLS/RFT)

MARLA TRAVERS, et al.,

Defendants.

IN RE: INJAH TAFARI,

1:12-mc-0042 (GLS)

Respondent.

## **ORDER**

On June 28, 2012, plaintiff/respondent InJah Tafari was enjoined from filing future cases in this District without permission from the Chief Judge. (See Dkt. No. 4, 1:12-mc-0042.) Notwithstanding that Order, Tafari filed an Inmate Civil Rights Complaint on October 22, 2012, that does not comply with the requirements of the anti-filing injunction order. (See Dkt. No. 1, 9:12-cv-1582.) In accordance with the anti-filing injunction order, the Court would typically deny Tafari leave to file the Inmate Civil Rights Complaint. However, in this case, Tafari filed the Inmate Civil Rights

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tafari did not personally sign the Inmate Civil Rights Complaint.

Complaint jointly with fifteen other pro se plaintiffs. Therefore, the Court hereby dismisses Injah Tafari as a plaintiff to 9:12-cv-1582 for his non-compliance with the anti-filing injunction order.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, it is hereby

ORDERED that Injah Tafari is DISMISSED as a plaintiff in 9:12-cv-1582 for his non-compliance with the anti-filing injunction order. The Clerk shall edit the docket report for 9:12-cv-1582 to indicate that Injah Tafari is not a party to that action; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall file a copy of this Order in both of the above-captioned actions; and it is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall provide a copy of this Order to the plaintiffs named in 9:12-cv-1582.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 6, 2012 Albany, New York